

Legendre's Relation and the Quantum Equivalence

$$\mathfrak{osp}(4|4)_1 = \mathfrak{osp}(2|2)_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_0$$

Miraculous J. Bhaseen*

*Physics Department, University of Oxford, Theoretical Physics,
1 Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3NP, U.K.*

February 1, 2008

Using explicit results for the four-point correlation functions of the Wess–Zumino–Novikov–Witten (WZNW) model we discuss the conformal embedding $\mathfrak{osp}(4|4)_1 = \mathfrak{osp}(2|2)_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_0$. This embedding has emerged in Bernard and LeClair's recent paper [1]. Given that the $\mathfrak{osp}(4|4)_1$ WZNW model is a free theory with power law correlation functions, whereas the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_0$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ models are CFTs with logarithmic correlation functions, one immediately wonders whether or not it is possible to combine these logarithms and obtain simple power laws. Indeed, this very concern has been raised in a revised version of [1]. In this paper we demonstrate how one may recover the free field behaviour from a braiding of the solutions of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_0$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations. We do this by implementing a procedure analogous to the conformal bootstrap programme [2]. Our ability to recover such simple behaviour relies on a remarkable identity in the theory of elliptic integrals known as Legendre's relation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering work of Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov [2], two-dimensional conformally invariant field theories have attracted a great deal of attention (see for example [3]). A prominent rôle in this arena is played by the WZNW models [4–6] possessing additional Lie algebraic symmetry. In condensed matter physics, these models have been used to describe the critical points of many low-dimensional physical systems. In particular, in the theory of disordered systems, averaging over disorder may lead to field theories defined over supermanifolds [7] and it is natural to expect that WZNW models based on Lie superalgebras¹ may describe their critical points [1, 10, 11]. In addition, averaging over disorder, may lead to the so-called logarithmic conformal field theories (LCFTs) [12–14]. A recent example of such supersymmetric LCFTs has been considered in a paper by Bernard and LeClair [1]. An essential ingredient in their analysis is the observation that the Sugawara

*bhaseen@thphys.ox.ac.uk

¹For more information on Lie superalgebras we refer the reader to the work of Kac [8] and the dictionary on superalgebras [9].

energy-momentum tensor for the $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$ Lie superalgebra, separates into two commuting pieces:

$$T_{\text{osp}(4|4)_1} = T_{\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}} + T_{\text{su}(2)_0} \quad (1.1)$$

The conformal field theories appearing on the left and right hand sides of this expression are quantum equivalent, if and only if, they possess the same operator algebra.² As the authors of [1] are acutely aware, the decomposition (1.1) is a necessary, *but not sufficient*, condition to ensure this quantum equivalence. In addition to (1.1) one also requires the coincidence of the four-point functions of primary fields [15]. However, the $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ and $\text{su}(2)_0$ WZNW models are known to contain logarithmic operators [11, 16, 17] whereas the $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$ WZNW model is known to have power law correlation functions [1]. One immediately enquires whether or not it is possible to combine these logarithms and obtain simple power laws. In this paper we demonstrate that it is in fact possible to combine these logarithms and achieve a reversion to power law behaviour.

The structure of this paper is as follows: in section II we study the four-point functions of the $\text{su}(2)_0$ WZNW model. We note that these conformal blocks may be expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integrals — a representation which turns out to be extremely fruitful. In section III we study the four-point correlation functions of the so-called $[0, 1/2]$ representation of $\text{osp}(2|2)$ [18, 19]. The Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation for this representation has been provided by Maassarani and Serban [11]. As we discuss more fully in [20], the conformal blocks undergo a dramatic simplification at $k = -2$, and it is possible to implement the conformal bootstrap [2] on a reduced set of solutions to the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation. Remarkably, this reduced subset assumes a simple form in terms of the complete elliptic integrals. In section IV we demonstrate how Legendre’s relation [21, 22] enables one to braid the conformal blocks of sections II and III so as to reproduce the free field behaviour of $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$. Finally we present concluding remarks and technical appendices.

II. THE $\text{SU}(2)_0$ WZNW MODEL

Following Knizhnik and Zamolodchikov [23] we consider the four-point functions of the WZNW model

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) = \langle g^{\alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) g^{\dagger \alpha_2, \bar{\alpha}_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) g^{\dagger \alpha_3, \bar{\alpha}_3}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) g^{\alpha_4, \bar{\alpha}_4}(z_4, \bar{z}_4) \rangle \quad (2.1)$$

where g transforms in the fundamental representation of $\text{su}(N)$ and $g^\dagger = g^{-1}$ transforms in the conjugate representation. We use the symbol α to denote the ordered sequence of $\text{su}(N)$ tensor indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$. Conformal invariance allows us to write

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) = [z_{14} z_{23} \bar{z}_{14} \bar{z}_{23}]^{-2h} F^{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (2.2)$$

²For a discussion of quantum equivalence see §3.7 of the book by Fuchs [15].

where h is the conformal dimension the field $g(z, \bar{z})$ (which in the case of $\text{su}(2)_0$ is $1/8$), $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$, and the anharmonic ratios z and $\bar{z}$ are defined as

$$z = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{14}z_{32}}, \quad \bar{z} = \frac{\bar{z}_{12}\bar{z}_{34}}{\bar{z}_{14}\bar{z}_{32}} \quad (2.3)$$

The correlation function (2.2) admits the invariant decomposition

$$F^{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}}(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{ij=1}^m I_i^\alpha \bar{I}_j^{\bar{\alpha}} F_{ij}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (2.4)$$

with the $m = 2$ $\text{su}(N)$ invariant tensors $I_1 = \delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \delta_{\alpha_3, \alpha_4}$ and $I_2 = \delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_3} \delta_{\alpha_2, \alpha_4}$. The four scalar functions F_{ij} satisfy the coupled first order equations

$$\frac{dF}{dz} = \left[\frac{1}{z} P + \frac{1}{z-1} Q \right] F \quad (2.5)$$

where F denotes the 2×2 matrix F_{ij} , and the matrices P and Q are given by

$$P = \frac{1}{2N\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} N^2 - 1 & N \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{2N\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ N & N^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}(N + k)$. In order to study the case $\text{su}(2)_0$ we set $N = 2$ and $k = 0$ in equation (2.6). Suppressing the antiholomorphic index j from the entries of F , one may reduce the first-order differential equation (2.5) in this case to the following pair of equations

$$[4z(1-z)]^2 F_1'' + (3-4z) [8z(1-z)F_1' - F_1] = 0 \quad (2.7a)$$

$$F_2 = -2zF_1' - \frac{(3-2z)}{2(1-z)} F_1 \quad (2.7b)$$

It is easily seen that (2.7a) admits the two solutions³

$$F_1^{(1)} = z^{-3/4}(1-z)^{1/4} [E(z) - K(z)] \quad (2.8a)$$

$$F_1^{(2)} = z^{-3/4}(1-z)^{1/4} E(1-z) \quad (2.8b)$$

where $K(z)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $E(z)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind as defined in equations (A3) and (A4). The representation (2.8) is particularly useful⁴ owing to the very simple manner in which the elliptic integrals behave

³Upon the change of variables $F_1(z) = [z(1-z)]^{1/4} H(z)$ equation (2.7a) reduces to the canonical form of the hypergeometric equation $z(1-z)H'' + [c - (a+b+1)z]H' - abH = 0$ with $a = 1/2$, $b = 3/2$ and $c = 2$. This has solutions $H^{(1)} = {}_2F_1[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; z]$ and $H^{(2)} = {}_2F_1[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1; 1-z]$ which may be expressed in terms of the elliptic integrals using the identities (A7) and (A10).

⁴This becomes even more apparant when we study the case of $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$.

under differentiation with respect to the parameter z — see equation (A5). Applying (2.7b) to these solutions yields:

$$F_2^{(1)} = z^{1/4}(1-z)^{-3/4} E(z) \quad (2.9a)$$

$$F_2^{(2)} = z^{1/4}(1-z)^{-3/4} [E(1-z) - K(1-z)] \quad (2.9b)$$

The results (2.8) and (2.9) agree with those of [16, 17], but are presented in a form which, for our purposes, is more convenient. In section III we perform a similar analysis for the $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ WZNW model.

III. THE $\text{OSP}(2|2)_{-2}$ WZNW MODEL

Following Maassarani and Serban [11] we consider the four-point functions of the WZNW model

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}}(z_i, \bar{z}_i) = \langle g^{\alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) g^{\alpha_2, \bar{\alpha}_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) g^{\alpha_3, \bar{\alpha}_3}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) g^{\alpha_4, \bar{\alpha}_4}(z_4, \bar{z}_4) \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

where g transforms in the so-called $[0, 1/2]$ representation of the Lie superalgebra $\text{osp}(2|2)$ and once again we use the symbol α to denote the ordered sequence of indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$. The representation theory of $\text{osp}(2|2)$ has been considered in [18, 19]. In particular, the $[0, 1/2]$ representation is four-dimensional and the index α_i takes on the values 1, 2, 3, 4. In the notation of [11], the indices 1, 4 are even (bosonic) while 2, 3 are odd (fermionic).⁵ As in section II, conformal invariance allows us to write (3.1) in the form (2.2) where this time $h = 1/8$ for the field $g(z, \bar{z})$ transforming under $[0, 1/2]$ [11]. The correlation function (3.1) also admits the invariant decomposition where the $m = 3$ $\text{osp}(2|2)$ invariant tensors derived in [11] are reproduced in appendix B. The nine scalar functions F_{ij} satisfy the coupled first order equations (2.5) where this time F denotes the 3×3 matrix F_{ij} and the matrices P and Q are given by

$$P = \frac{1}{x} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -3 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon\gamma} \\ 4\epsilon\gamma & 8\epsilon\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{x} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon\gamma} \\ 2 & 1 & \frac{1}{2\epsilon\gamma} \\ -4\epsilon\gamma & -4\epsilon\gamma & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

where $x = 2 - k$. The two free parameters ϵ and γ correspond to the arbitrary relative normalizations of the $\text{su}(2)$ doublet ($|1\rangle, |4\rangle$) and the two singlets $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ which together provide a four-dimensional basis for the $[0, 1/2]$ representation of $\text{osp}(2|2)$ [18, 19]. Suppressing the antiholomorphic index j from the entries of F , one may reduce the first-order differential

⁵For reader unfamiliar with the Lie superalgebras we note that the Hilbert space basis states (upon which matrix representations may be formed) carry a so-called grading — the state vectors themselves may be either bosonic or fermionic.

equation (2.5) in this case to the following set of equations [11]

$$x^3 z^3 (1-z)^3 F_3''' + x^2 (1+2x) z^2 (1-z)^2 (1-2z) F_3'' + xz(1-z)[-1-x+2xz-2x(2+x)z(1-z)]F_3' + [-1-x+2z+2xz(1-z)]F_3(z) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$F_2(z) = -\frac{1}{4\epsilon\gamma xz(1-z)} [x^2 D^2 F_3(z) + 2x(1-z)DF_3(z) + (1-2z)F_3(z)] \quad (3.4)$$

$$F_1(z) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon\gamma} [xDF_3(z) - F_3(z)] + (z-2)F_2(z) \quad (3.5)$$

where $D = z(1-z)d/dz$. The authors of [11] tackle (3.3) by introducing ancilliary functions F^- from which one may obtain the F_3 via the differential relation $F_3 \propto (1-xD)F^-$. The F^- satisfy a third-order equation, which the authors of [11] solve in terms of generalized hypergeometric functions — see equations 68-70 of [11]. One of these solutions is of the form⁶

$$F_0^-(z) = [z(1-z)]^{-1/x} {}_3F_2 \left[\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{x}, 1 - \frac{1}{x}; 1, 1 - \frac{2}{x}; 4z(1-z) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, for the study of $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ we must set $x = 4$. The *generalized* hypergeometric function appearing in (3.6) reduces under these circumstances to an *ordinary* hypergeometric function. Using the well known identities satisfied by the ordinary hypergeometric functions, one is able to obtain from this result, *two* independent solutions to the equation (3.3) in terms of the elliptic integrals (see Appendix A 2):

$$F_3^{(1)} = \frac{E(z)}{[z(1-z)]^{1/4}} \quad F_3^{(2)} = \frac{E(1-z) - K(1-z)}{[z(1-z)]^{1/4}} \quad (3.7)$$

These solutions may be verified straightforwardly using (A5). Applying (3.4) and (3.5) to these solutions yields:

$$F_2^{(1)} = -\frac{K(z)}{4\epsilon\gamma[z(1-z)]^{1/4}} \quad F_1^{(1)} = \frac{zK(z)}{4\epsilon\gamma[z(1-z)]^{1/4}} \quad (3.8)$$

$$F_2^{(2)} = \frac{K(1-z)}{4\epsilon\gamma[z(1-z)]^{1/4}} \quad F_1^{(2)} = -\frac{zK(1-z)}{4\epsilon\gamma[z(1-z)]^{1/4}} \quad (3.9)$$

As we demonstrate in [20], one may satisfy the demands of single-valuedness and crossing symmetry — the so-called conformal bootstrap [2] — on the subspace of functions (3.7)–(3.9). In section IV we shall demonstrate how one may braid the $\text{su}(2)_0$ conformal blocks given by (2.8) and (2.9) with those of the $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ model appearing in (3.7)–(3.9), so as to produce simple power laws.

⁶We note the small but significant typing error in the last indicial argument of this function as it appears in equation 68 of [11]. The other two solutions 69 and 70 are correct, however.

IV. LEGENDRE'S RELATION AND $\text{OSP}(4|4)_1$

A. Embedding Blocks

We begin by defining embedding blocks

$$E_{ij}(z) = \sum_{a,b=1}^2 c_{ij}^{ab} F_{i,\text{su}}^{(a)}(z) F_{j,\text{osp}}^{(b)}(z) \quad (4.1)$$

which are a simple braiding of the (holomorphic) $\text{su}(2)_0$ conformal blocks, $F_{i,\text{su}}^{(a)}(z)$, and the (holomorphic) $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ conformal blocks, $F_{i,\text{osp}}^{(a)}(z)$. The c_{ij}^{ab} are, as yet, undetermined coefficients which we shall determine by imposing single-valuedness and crossing symmetry. In order to impose the condition of single-valuedness on the embedding blocks, we consider the monodromy transformations of its constituent conformal blocks. A monodromy transformation of a function of z consists in letting z circulate around some other point (typically a singular point). We define

$$\mathcal{C}_0 F(z, \bar{z}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} F(ze^{2i\pi t}, \bar{z}e^{-2i\pi t}) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 F(z, \bar{z}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} F(1 + (z-1)e^{2i\pi t}, 1 + (\bar{z}-1)e^{-2i\pi t}) \quad (4.3)$$

Using the analytic continuation formulae for the hypergeometric functions it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathcal{C}_0 F_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{(a)}(z) = (g_0^{\mathcal{A}})_{ab} F_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{(b)}(z), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 F_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{(a)}(z) = (g_1^{\mathcal{A}})_{ab} F_{i,\mathcal{A}}^{(b)}(z), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4.5)$$

where the algebra index $\mathcal{A}$ is either su or osp , and the monodromy matrices $g_0^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $g_1^{\mathcal{A}}$ are given by

$$g_0^{\text{su}} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ -2 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_1^{\text{su}} = \begin{pmatrix} i & -2 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_0^{\text{osp}} = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 2 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_1^{\text{osp}} = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 2 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

We notice that these matrices are related by $g_i^{\text{su}} = -g_i^{\text{osp}}$. Demanding that the embedding functions be invariant under both $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_1$, requires that $c_{ij}^{11} = c_{ij}^{22} = 0$ and $c_{ij}^{12} = -c_{ij}^{21}$ ($= c_{ij}$ say.) In other words,

$$E_{ij}(z) = c_{ij} \left[F_{i,\text{su}}^{(1)}(z) F_{j,\text{osp}}^{(2)}(z) - F_{i,\text{su}}^{(2)}(z) F_{j,\text{osp}}^{(1)}(z) \right] \quad (4.7)$$

Since there are two invariant tensors in the $\text{su}(2)$ sector ($i = 1, 2$), and three in the $\text{osp}(2|2)$ sector ($j = 1, 2, 3$), this defines a total of six functions E_{ij} upto individual normalization. In our explicit evaluation of these embedding blocks, we are able to utilize the elegant identity in the theory of elliptic integrals which is now termed Legendre's relation [21, 22]:

$$E(z)K(1-z) + E(1-z)K(z) - K(z)K(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (4.8)$$

Straightforward substitution of the $\text{su}(2)_0$ and $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ conformal blocks into (4.7) reveals that the elliptic integrals always appear in the manner prescribed in Legendre's relation (4.8) — not only are the embedding blocks single-valued, but they do not contain any elliptic integrals. Absorbing numerical factors into the coefficients c_{ij} , and defining $E_{ij} = c_{ij}e_{ij}$, the renormalized embedding blocks may be summarized:

$$e_{11} = 1, \quad e_{12} = e_{13} = \frac{1}{z}, \quad e_{21} = \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad e_{22} = \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad e_{23} = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

In subsection IV B we shall present the free field correlation functions of the $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$ WZNW model, and demonstrate how one may recover these results by combining the embedding blocks with the tensorial structure of $\text{osp}(2|2)$ and $\text{su}(2)$.

B. Free Fields and $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$

The current algebra $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$ admits a simple representation in terms of free fields [1]. We introduce the complex fields ϕ_α^i , and their Hermitian conjugates $\phi_\alpha^{i\dagger}$, where $i = 1, 2$ is a species index, and the index $\alpha = 1, 2$ denotes boson and fermion respectively. These eight fields have the following non-trivial operator product expansions

$$\phi_{\alpha_1}^{i_1}(z)\phi_{\alpha_2}^{i_2\dagger}(w) = \frac{\delta^{i_1,i_2}\delta^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}}{z-w}, \quad \phi_{\alpha_1}^{i_1\dagger}(z)\phi_{\alpha_2}^{i_2}(w) = (-1)^{\alpha_1}\frac{\delta^{i_1,i_2}\delta^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}}{z-w} \quad (4.10)$$

and furnish a representation of $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$. Let us consider the holomorphic correlation function

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^i(z_1, \dots, z_4) = \langle \phi_{\alpha_1}^{i_1}(z_1)\phi_{\alpha_2}^{i_2\dagger}(z_2)\phi_{\alpha_3}^{i_3\dagger}(z_3)\phi_{\alpha_4}^{i_4}(z_4) \rangle \quad (4.11)$$

where i denotes the ordered sequence i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 and similarly α denotes $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$. Conformal invariance restricts this correlation function to be of the form

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^i(z_1, \dots, z_4) = [z_{14}z_{23}]^{-2h} F_\alpha^i(z) \quad (4.12)$$

where in this case $h = 1/2$. Setting $z_2 = 0$, $z_3 = 1$, $z_4 = \infty$, and correspondingly $z_1 = z$, one may extract the conformal block $F_\alpha^i(z)$:

$$F_\alpha^i(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} w \langle \phi_{\alpha_1}^{i_1}(z)\phi_{\alpha_2}^{i_2\dagger}(0)\phi_{\alpha_3}^{i_3\dagger}(1)\phi_{\alpha_4}^{i_4}(w) \rangle \quad (4.13)$$

This correlation function may be evaluated with the aid of Wick's theorem

$$F_\alpha^i(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} w \left[\langle \phi_{\alpha_1}^{i_1}(z)\phi_{\alpha_2}^{i_2\dagger}(0) \rangle \langle \phi_{\alpha_3}^{i_3\dagger}(1)\phi_{\alpha_4}^{i_4}(w) \rangle \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^{(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)} \langle \phi_{\alpha_1}^{i_1}(z)\phi_{\alpha_3}^{i_3\dagger}(1) \rangle \langle \phi_{\alpha_2}^{i_2\dagger}(0)\phi_{\alpha_4}^{i_4}(w) \rangle \right] \quad (4.14)$$

where the parity factor $(-1)^{(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)}$ contributes a minus sign if both fields 2 and 3 are fermionic. Using the operator product expansions given in (4.10) one obtains

$$F_\alpha^i(z) = \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_3-1}}{z} I_{1,\text{su}}^i \delta^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \delta^{\alpha_3,\alpha_4} + \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_3(\alpha_2-1)}}{z-1} I_{2,\text{su}}^i \delta^{\alpha_1,\alpha_3} \delta^{\alpha_2,\alpha_4} \quad (4.15)$$

We shall now demonstrate how one may recover this result from the decomposition

$$F_\alpha^i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=2}^3 I_{j,\text{su}}^i I_{k,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} c_{jk} e_{jk}(z) \quad (4.16)$$

where $\alpha^\dagger$ denotes the ordered sequence of indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2^\dagger, \alpha_3^\dagger, \alpha_4$, and we have introduced the conjugate indices $1^\dagger = 4$ and $2^\dagger = 3$. We note in particular that the sum over the $\text{osp}(2|2)$ invariant tensors begins at $k = 2$ since the explicit form (B1a) reveals that $I_1^{\alpha^\dagger} = 0$ for $\alpha_i = 1, 2$. As we demonstrate in Appendix C, crossing symmetry demands that $c_{22} = -c_{12}$ and $c_{13} = -4\epsilon\gamma c_{12}$. Substituting these explicit relationships into (4.16) along with the e_{ij} given in equation (4.9) one finds

$$F_\alpha^i(z) = c_{12} \left[I_{1,\text{su}}^i \left(I_{2,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} - 4\epsilon\gamma I_{3,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} \right) \frac{1}{z} + I_{2,\text{su}}^i I_{2,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} \frac{1}{z-1} \right] \quad (4.17)$$

Now, using the explicit form of the osp tensors (B1b) and (B1c) one may easily verify that

$$\left(I_{2,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} - 4\epsilon\gamma I_{3,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} \right) = (-1)^{\alpha_3-1} (4\epsilon\gamma)^g \delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \delta_{\alpha_3,\alpha_4} \quad (4.18)$$

$$I_{2,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} = (-1)^{\alpha_3(\alpha_2-1)} (4\epsilon\gamma)^g \delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_3} \delta_{\alpha_2,\alpha_4} \quad (4.19)$$

where g is given by $g = \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i/2 - 2$, one sees that up to an irrelevant normalization, equation (4.17) reduces to (4.15). That is to say, we have succeeded in braiding the $\text{su}(2)_0$ and the $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ conformal blocks, in a manner prescribed by single-valuedness and crossing symmetry, so as to recover the free field correlation function of $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have demonstrated how one may braid the logarithmic conformal blocks of the $\text{su}(2)_0$ and $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ WZNW models so as to recover the free field correlation functions of the $\text{osp}(4|4)_1$ model. It is an interesting open problem to relate these observations to the Hilbert space non-factorization arguments presented in [1].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank J.-S. Caux, V. Gurarie, I. I. Kogan, C. Pepin, A. M. Tsvelik, for stimulating and valuable discussions. The author would also like to thank EPSRC for financial support.

APPENDIX A: HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES AND ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS

1. Generalities and $\text{su}(2)_0$

We gather here some useful properties of the hypergeometric series and their connections to the complete elliptic integrals. A good general reference is [22]. More details may be found in the monographs [24,25] or in the various books on special functions [26–29]. A generalized hypergeometric series is of the form (see [27] 9.14(1))

$${}_pF_q [a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where the so-called Pochhammer symbol is defined as

$$(a)_n = a(a+1) \dots (a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} \quad (\text{A2})$$

When $p = 2$ and $q = 1$ we obtain the ordinary hypergeometric series. It is well known in the theory of elliptic integrals that (see [27] 8.113(1) and 8.114(1) and note our different conventions)

$$K(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; z \right] \quad E(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; z \right] \quad (\text{A3})$$

where $K(z)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $E(z)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind⁷ (see [27] 8.110(1) and the subsequent discussion)

$$K(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-zx^2)}} dx \quad E(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-zx^2}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx \quad (\text{A4})$$

The elliptic integrals have rather simple properties under differentiation with respect to the parameter z (see [27] 8.123(2) and 8.132(4) and note our different conventions):

$$\frac{dK(z)}{dz} = \frac{E(z) - (1-z)K(z)}{2z(1-z)} \quad \frac{dE(z)}{dz} = \frac{E(z) - K(z)}{2z} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Given the relations (A3) it is possible to express many other hypergeometric functions in terms of the elliptic integrals. In particular, the definition (A1) implies that

$${}_2F_1' [a_1, a_2; b_1; z] = \frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1} {}_2F_1 [a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1; b_1 + 1; z] \quad (\text{A6})$$

⁷Note that many texts on the theory of elliptic integrals (including Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [27]) denote the parameter z by k^2 - the so-called modulus. Although this is purely a matter of convention, we find it preferable to deal with functions of z , rather than k^2 , or indeed $\sqrt{k}$.

and thus from (A3) and (A5) one obtains

$${}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; z \right] = -\frac{4}{\pi z} [E(z) - K(z)] \quad (\text{A7})$$

Further, using the Gauss recursion formula (see [27] 9.137(3))

$$(2\beta - \gamma - \beta z + \alpha z) {}_2F_1 [\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z] + (\gamma - \beta) {}_2F_1 [\alpha, \beta - 1; \gamma; z] + \beta(z - 1) {}_2F_1 [\alpha, \beta + 1; \gamma; z] = 0 \quad (\text{A8})$$

with $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$, $\gamma = 1$, one finds that

$${}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1; z \right] = (1 - z)^{-1} {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; 1; z \right] \quad (\text{A9})$$

Recalling (A3) we may recast this in terms of elliptic integrals

$${}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1; z \right] = \frac{2E(z)}{\pi(1 - z)} \quad (\text{A10})$$

2. Quadratic Transformations and $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$

Amongst the many relations satisfied by the ordinary hypergeometric functions are the quadratic transformations

$${}_2F_1[\alpha, \beta; \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}; 4z(1 - z)] = \begin{cases} {}_2F_1[2\alpha, 2\beta; \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}; z] & (\text{a}) \\ {}_2F_1[2\alpha, 2\beta; \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - z] & (\text{b}) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A11})$$

where form (a) is valid inside the loop of the lemniscate $|4z(1 - z)| = 1$ surrounding $z = 0$, and form (b) is valid inside the loop surrounding $z = 1$ — see for example 4. (iii) and 4. (v) on page 97 of [24]. In particular, when applied to the ancilliary function appearing in (3.6) with $x = 4$ (and $\alpha = -1/4$, $\beta = 3/4$, $\gamma = 1$) one obtains

$$F_0^- = \begin{cases} [z(1 - z)]^{-1/4} {}_2F_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1; z \right] & (\text{a}) \\ [z(1 - z)]^{-1/4} {}_2F_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1; 1 - z \right] & (\text{b}) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A12})$$

Using the Gauss recursion formula (see [27] 9.137(4))

$$\gamma {}_2F_1 [\alpha, \beta - 1; \gamma; z] - \gamma {}_2F_1 [\alpha - 1, \beta; \gamma; z] + (\alpha - \beta)z {}_2F_1 [\alpha, \beta; \gamma + 1; z] = 0 \quad (\text{A13})$$

with $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta = 3/2$, $\gamma = 1$, together with the results (A3) and (A7) one finds that

$${}_2F_1 \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1; z \right] = \frac{2}{\pi} [2E(z) - K(z)] \quad (\text{A14})$$

Substituting this result into (A12) and recalling that the solutions to the $\text{osp}(2|2)_{-2}$ Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation (3.3) are obtained through the differential relation $F_3 \propto (1 - 4D)F_0^-$, one may utilize (A5) and arrive at the solutions (3.7) stated in the text.

APPENDIX B: INVARIANT TENSORS FOR $\text{osp}(2|2)$

The non-vanishing components of the three invariant tensors for the $[0, 1/2]$ representation of $\text{osp}(2|2)$ are equal to the components of the three vectors [11]

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = & (1144) + (1234)4\epsilon\gamma + (1324)4\epsilon\gamma - (1414) + (2143)4\epsilon\gamma \\ & + (2233)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 + (2323)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 - (2413)4\epsilon\gamma + (3142)4\epsilon\gamma + (3232)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 \\ & + (3322)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 - (3412)4\epsilon\gamma - (4141) - (4231)4\epsilon\gamma - (4321)4\epsilon\gamma + (4411) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 = & (1234)4\epsilon\gamma - (1243)4\epsilon\gamma + (1324)4\epsilon\gamma - (1342)4\epsilon\gamma \\ & - (1414) + (1441) - (2134)4\epsilon\gamma + (2143)4\epsilon\gamma + (2233)32\epsilon^2\gamma^2 + (2323)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 \\ & + (2332)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 - (2413)4\epsilon\gamma + (2431)4\epsilon\gamma - (3124)4\epsilon\gamma + (3142)4\epsilon\gamma \\ & + (3223)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 + (3232)16\epsilon^2\gamma^2 + (3322)32\epsilon^2\gamma^2 - (3412)4\epsilon\gamma + (3421)4\epsilon\gamma \\ & + (4114) - (4141) + (4213)4\epsilon\gamma - (4231)4\epsilon\gamma + (4312)4\epsilon\gamma - (4321)4\epsilon\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 = & (1234) - (1243) + (1324) - (1342) + (1423) + (1432) - (2134) + (2143) \\ & + (2233)8\epsilon\gamma + (2314) + (2323)8\epsilon\gamma + (2332)8\epsilon\gamma - (2341) - (2413) + (2431) \\ & - (3124) + (3142) + (3214) + (3223)8\epsilon\gamma + (3232)8\epsilon\gamma - (3241) + (3322)8\epsilon\gamma \\ & - (3412) + (3421) - (4123) - (4132) + (4213) - (4231) + (4312) - (4321) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1c})$$

For example one finds that $I_1^{3412} = -4\epsilon\gamma$ whilst $I_1^{1441} = 0$.

APPENDIX C: CROSSING SYMMETRY

The correlation function (4.11) has the following transformation under the permutation of the fields 2 and 3 (coordinates and indices) — crossing symmetry:

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^i(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{i}}(z_1, z_3, z_2, z_4) \quad (\text{C1})$$

where α denotes the sequence of indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the permuted sequence of indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_4$ (and similarly for i), and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = (-1)^{(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)}$ denotes the parity of the interchange. Interchanging z_2 and z_3 induces the transformation $z \rightarrow 1 - z$ (2.3) and thus by equation (4.12), the crossing symmetry constraint may be also be written

$$F_\alpha^i(z) = -\tilde{\mathcal{P}}F_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{i}}(1 - z) \quad (\text{C2})$$

Returning to our embedding decomposition (4.16) and introducing the following tensors (see equation A.5 of [11])

$$J_{j,\text{su}}^i = I_{j,\text{su}}^{\tilde{i}}, \quad J_{h,\text{osp}}^\alpha = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}I_{k,\text{osp}}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \quad (\text{C3})$$

the crossing symmetry constraint takes the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=2}^3 I_{j,\text{su}}^i I_{k,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} c_{jk} e_{jk}(z) = - \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=2}^3 J_{j,\text{su}}^i J_{k,\text{osp}}^{\alpha^\dagger} c_{jk} e_{jk}(1 - z) \quad (\text{C4})$$

These new tensors admit the decompositions⁸

$$J_{1,\text{su}} = I_{2,\text{su}}, \quad J_{2,\text{su}} = I_{1,\text{su}}, \quad J_{1,\text{osp}} = I_{2,\text{osp}} - 4\epsilon\gamma I_{3,\text{osp}}, \quad J_{3,\text{osp}} = -I_{3,\text{osp}} \quad (\text{C5})$$

Substituting these decompositions into equation (C4) and equating the coefficients of $I_{j,\text{su}}I_{k,\text{osp}}$ on both sides, one finds the following identities which must be satisfied by the combinations $c_{ij}e_{ij}(z)$ if crossing symmetry is to be satisfied:

$$c_{12}e_{12}(z) = -c_{22}e_{22}(1-z) \quad (\text{C6a})$$

$$c_{13}e_{13}(z) = 4\epsilon\gamma c_{22}e_{22}(1-z) + c_{23}e_{23}(1-z) \quad (\text{C6b})$$

$$c_{22}e_{22}(z) = -c_{12}e_{12}(1-z) \quad (\text{C6c})$$

$$c_{23}e_{23}(z) = 4\epsilon\gamma c_{12}e_{12}(1-z) + c_{13}e_{13}(1-z) \quad (\text{C6d})$$

Inserting the explicit expressions for e_{ij} (4.9) one finds that (C6a) implies $c_{22} = -c_{12}$, and (C6b) implies $c_{13} = 4\epsilon\gamma c_{22}$. Equations (C6c) and (C6d) simply reproduce these identifications.

- [1] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, cond-mat/003075.
- [2] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241**, 333 (1984).
- [3] P. D. Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. S  n  chal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer, 1997).
- [4] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **37B**, 95 (1971).
- [5] S. P. Novikov, Sov. Math. Dock. **24**, 222 (1981).
- [6] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **92**, 455 (1984).
- [7] K. Efetov, *Supersymmetry in Disorder and Chaos* (Cambridge University Press, 1997).
- [8] V. G. Kac, Funct. Anal. Appl. **9**, 263 (1975).
- [9] L. Frappat, P. Sorba, and A. Sciarrio, hep-th/9607161.
- [10] D. Bernard, hep-th/9509137.
- [11] Z. Maassarani and D. Serban, Nucl. Phys. **B489**, 603 (1997).
- [12] V. Gurarie, Nucl. Phys. **B410**, 535 (1993).
- [13] V. Gurarie, cond-mat/9911392.
- [14] J. Cardy, cond-mat/9911024.
- [15] J. Fuchs, *Affine Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups* (Cambridge University Press, 1992).
- [16] J.-S. Caux, I. Kogan, A. Lewis, and A. M. Tsvelik, Nucl. Phys. **B489**, 469 (1997).
- [17] I. Kogan and A. Lewis, Nucl. Phys. **B509**, 687 (1998).
- [18] M. Scheunert, W. Nahm, and V. Rittenberg, Journal of Mathematical Physics **18**, 155 (1977).
- [19] M. Scheunert, W. Nahm, and V. Rittenberg, Journal of Mathematical Physics **18**, 146 (1977).
- [20] M. J. Bhaseen, J. S. Caux, I. I. Kogan, and A. M. Tsvelik, in preparation.

⁸These relations for osp(2|2) follow from equation A.6 of [11] with the additional fact that $I_{1,\text{osp}}^{\alpha_1,\alpha_2^\dagger,\alpha_3^\dagger,\alpha_4} = 0$ for $\alpha_i = 1, 2$ with the identifications $1^\dagger = 4, 2^\dagger = 3$.

- [21] A. M. Legendre, *Exercices de Calcul Intégral sur divers ordres de transcendantes et sur les quadratures* (Paris, 1811).
- [22] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, 1952).
- [23] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B247**, 83 (1984).
- [24] W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics No. 32 (Cambridge University Press, 1935).
- [25] L. J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions* (Cambridge University Press, 1966).
- [26] A. P. Prudnikov, Y. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series* Vol. 3 (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1990).
- [27] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic Press, 1965).
- [28] A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions: The Bateman Manuscript Project* Vol. 1 (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc, 1953).
- [29] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, 1965).